## A NEW ANTIBIOTIC, BACIPHELACIN

Sir:
A new antibiotic, named baciphelacin, has been isolated from the culture filtrate of Bacillus thiaminolyticus IFO 3967/B-1-7. Baciphelacin is a fat-soluble, substituted benzene antibiotic, mainly effective against Grampositive bacteria (Table 1) and Newcastle Disease virus in cultured chick embryo fibroblasts (minimal inhibitory concentration measured by the method of E. C. Herrmann Jr. ${ }^{1)}$, $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). Baciphelacin is also effective against P388 lymphatic leukemia in $\mathrm{CDF}_{1}$ mice. Treatment with baciphelacin $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day for 9 days, i.p.) showed about a $50 \%$ increase in mean survival time for P388 implanted mice ( $10^{6}$ cells/head, i.p.).

The culture medium was composed of $1.0 \%$ glucose, $0.5 \%$ ammonium acetate, $0.7 \%$ meat extract, $1.0 \%$ Polypeptone and $0.3 \%$ sodium chloride ( pH 7.0 ). Cultures were grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days.

The active substance was extracted from the culture filtrate with ethyl acetate at pH 9.5 and the extract was concentrated in vacuo to a syrup. Ether was added to the syrup and the mixture was kept overnight at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The active syrupy precipitate was collected and purified further by column chromatography on silica gel and silicic acid using a solvent system of chloroform - methanol (the concentration of methanol was linearly increased from 0 to $30 \%$ ). About 50 mg of white powder of baciphelacin was obtained from 100 liters of the culture broth.

Baciphelacin (I) gave positive color reactions with ninhydrin, Greig-Leaback ${ }^{2)}$ and $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ reagents. The ultraviolet spectrum, $\lambda_{\text {max }}^{\mathrm{MeOH}} \mathrm{nm}(\varepsilon)$ : $216(29,000), 245(6,050), 314(4,900)$ and the infrared spectrum, $\lambda_{\max }^{\mathrm{KBr}}: 1695,1680,810,697$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, indicated the presence of a benzoic acid moiety. The molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ was estimated from that of the tetraacetate

Table 1. Antimicrobial spectrum of baciphelacin.

| Test organism | MIC <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Staphylococcus aureus FDA 209P | 5 |
| Staphylococcus aureus No. 87* | 5 |
| Bacillus subtilis PCI 219 | 5 |
| Sarcina lutea PCI 1001 | 5 |
| Escherichia coli NIHJ | 50 |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae IFO 3512 | 10 |
| Proteus vulgaris IFO 3045 | $>100$ |
| Proteus morganii IFO 3848 | $>100$ |
| Proteus mirabilis IFO 12255 | $>100$ |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa IFO 3080 | 100 |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa NCTC 10490 | 100 |

* Clinical isolate, resistant against penicillin, streptomycin and macrolide group antibiotics.
(II). Acetylation of I with acetic anhydride in pyridine gave the tetraacetate of $\mathbf{I}$ (II), m.p. $219^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10}$, UV $\lambda_{\text {max }}^{\mathrm{MeOH}} \mathrm{nm}(\varepsilon): 207$ $(37,000), 246(7,300), 314(5,050)$, Mass $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ m/e 590.

Acid hydrolysis of $\mathbf{I}\left(6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24\right.$ hours) gave the chromophore moiety (III) as colorless needles, m.p. $\geq 204^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.), $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, Mass $\mathrm{M}^{+} m / e 249$, UV $\lambda_{\text {max }}^{\mathrm{MeOH}}$ $\mathrm{nm}(\varepsilon): 210(23,600), 246(6,950), 314(4,530)$, IR $\lambda_{\max }^{\mathrm{KBr}}: 1685(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 805,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (aromatic), showing positive color reactions with ninhydrin $\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ (phenolic OH ). Diacetate of III (IV), $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, Mass $\mathrm{M}^{+} m / e ~ 333$, was obtained by acetylation of III. The UV spectrum of III agreed very closely with that of mellein $^{3)}(\mathrm{V}), \lambda_{\text {max }}^{\mathrm{MeOH}} \mathrm{nm}(\varepsilon): 212(20,000), 246$ $(6,500), 314(4,100)$, indicating the presence of a similar basic skeleton. The NMR spectrum of IV showed the following functional groups:

$(\delta 1.94,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-(\delta 2.28,3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}-(\delta 6.31,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d})$ and aromatic $p, m$-three protons $(\delta 6.97,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} ; \delta 7.08$,

$1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} ; \delta 7.47,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t})$. The high resolution mass spectrum of III indicated the following fragment ion peaks: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), \quad \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \quad\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$, $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{~B}^{+}\right)$. The fragment $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}$ was assumed to be the side
chain $\left(\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\ \mathrm{CH}_{3}\end{array}>\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\underset{\substack{\mathrm{CH} \\ \mathrm{NH}_{2}}}{\mathrm{CH}}\right)$, this was sup-
ported by the fragment ion peak $m / e 192$ $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\left(\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\ \mathrm{CH}_{3}\end{array}>\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right)\right]$. All protons

Table 2. NMR Spectrum of IV (III-diacetate)


| Chemical shift |  | Results of spin-decoupling study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Following proton ( $\delta$, ppm) was irradiated |  |  |  |  |  | Addition of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Proton | $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 3.00 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 1.40 | 1.65 | 0.93 |  |
| 3-H | 6.97 (1H, d) | $\stackrel{*}{\mathrm{~m} \rightarrow \mathrm{dd}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{dd} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d} \\ * \end{gathered}$ | col.$\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{~s}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d} \text {-like } \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{d \rightarrow s}{*}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{t} \text {-like } \\ * \end{gathered}$ | col. |
| 4-H | 7.47 (1H, t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-H | 7.08 (1H, d) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.00 (2H, dd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{\prime}$-CH | 4.46 (1H, m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}$ | $4.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $1.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}$ | 1.65 (1H, m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.91 (6H, d) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ | $1.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ | $2.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NH | $6.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, col. = collapsed, dis. = disappeared
Table 3. NMR Spectrum of the side chain of II.


| Chemical shift |  | Results of spin-decoupling study |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Following proton ( $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) was irradiated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proton | $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 0.97 | 5.39 | 5.11 | 4.51 | 1.46 | 6.68 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\ & 2^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{CH} \\ & 3^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{CH} \\ & 4^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{CH} \\ & 5^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{CH} \\ & 5^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{NH} \\ & 6^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \\ & 7^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{CH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}) \\ & 1.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \\ & 5.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}) \\ & 5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}) \\ & 4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \\ & 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}) \\ & 1.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \\ & 0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}) \end{aligned}$ | * <br> col. <br> col. <br> * | col. <br> $\mathrm{dd} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{~s} \\ * \\ \mathrm{col} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{dd} \rightarrow \mathrm{~s} \text {-like } \\ \quad * \\ \mathrm{~d} \rightarrow \mathrm{~s} \text {-like } \\ \text { col. } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d} \text {-like }$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{col} . \\ * \end{gathered}$ |

$\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, col. = collapsed

Table 4. Mass fragmentation patterns of II, III and IV.
(1I:

|  | (1) $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 590 | 205 | 533 | 234 | 216 | 385 | 57 | 85 | 300 |
| III | 249 | 163 | 192 | - | 175 | 86 | 57 | - | - |
| IV | 333 | 205 | 276 | 234 | 216 | 128 | 57 | 86 | 43 |


|  | $\left\|\mathrm{M}^{+}-15\right\| \mathrm{M}^{+}-42 \mid$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M}^{+}-15 \\ -42 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{M}^{+}-60$ | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M}^{+}-60 \\ -42 \end{array}\right.\right]$ | $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ $-42 \times 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}^{+} \\ & -42 \times 4 \end{aligned}$ | (2) -42 | $\text { (3) }-42$ | (3) $-42 \times 2$ | (3) $-42 \times 3$ | (4) -42 | (4) -85 | (5)-42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 575 | 548 | 533 | 530 | 488 | 464 | 422 | 163 | 491 | 349 | 307 | 192 | 149 | 175 |
| IV | 318 | 291 | 276 | 273 | 231 | - | - | 163 | 234 | 192 | - | 192 | 149 | 175 |


|  | (6) -42 | (6) -60 | $\begin{array}{r} (6)-60 \\ -42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (6)-42 \\ -42 \end{array}$ | (6) $-42 \times 3$ | (9) -42 | (9) -60 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (9) }-60 \\ -42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (9) }-42 \\ -42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (9) \\ & -42 \times 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 343 | 325 | 283 | 301 | 258 | 258 | 240 | 198 | 216 | 174 |

of IV were assigned as shown in Table 2 and confirmed by NMR spin-decoupling studies of IV. From all of the above evidence, structure III was proposed for the chromophore of baciphelacin.

When the molecular formula of the chromophore moiety $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ (III) is substracted from that of baciphelacin $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (I), $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ remains as the side chain moiety. Comparing the NMR spectrum of II with that of IV, one additional secondary methyl, two O-acetyl, one imino, one ethyl, two methines attached to O-acetyl and two methines were observed. The NMR spin-decoupling studies on II clarified the presence of partial structure (VI) as shown in Table 3. The UV spectrum of baciphelacin (I) is almost identical with that of III, indicating that the chromophore moiety of III is also present in baciphelacin. Mass fragmentation patterns of II, III and IV indicated that they were split according to the same fragmentation scheme as shown in Table 4.

From these results, structure I was proposed for baciphelacin.
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